

EXHIBIT 245

Page 1

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

3
4 CIVIL NO. 18-1776 (JRT/HB)

5 0:21-MD-02998-JRT-HB

6 MDL NO. 2998

7
8 IN RE: PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION

9 This Document Relates to: All Actions

10
11
12
13 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14 REMOTE VIDEO DEPOSITION OF
15 KENNETH M. GRANNAS, JR.

16 December 17, 2021

17
18
19
20
21
22 REPORTED BY: Laura H. Nichols
23 Certified Realtime Reporter,
24 Registered Professional
25 Reporter and Notary Public




C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF ALABAMA

JEFFERSON COUNTY

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing deposition was taken down by me in stenotypy, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the deposition given by said witness upon said hearing, to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.



/s/ LAURA H. NICHOLS

Commissioner-Notary Public, State of AL

ACCR License No. 3, Exp. 9/30/2022

GA CCR No. 2714, Exp. 4/1/2022

TN LCR No. 679, Exp. 6/30/2022

Transcript Certified on 12/17/2021

EXHIBIT 248

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

3 IN RE: PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION
4

5 Civil No. 18-cv-1776 (JRT/HB)
6

7 This Document Relates to:
8 All Actions
9

10
11 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12 REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SCOTT SAUNDERS
13

14 August 11, 2022

15 9:11 a.m.
16

17
18 REPORTED BY: Lori Busick
19 Registered Professional
20 Reporter and Notary Public
21

22 VIDEOGRAPHER: Justin Bily
23
24
25







CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Lori W. Busick, Court Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the State of Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing contains a true and correct transcript of the testimony of Scott Saunders, as taken by me in the aforementioned matter at the time and place heretofore stated, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.

I further certify that under the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.

I further certify that, to the best of my knowledge, I am not in the employ of or related to any party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.

Witness my signature and seal this the 26th day of August, 2022.



Lori W. Busick, CVR-S #7510, CCR #1677

My Commission Expires:

August 22, 2026

EXHIBIT 251

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

IN RE: PORK ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

Case Number:

0:18-cv-01776
JRT-HB

This document relates to
all actions.

Video Deposition of

SETH MEYER, Ph.D.

Wednesday, June 15, 2022

9:03 a.m.

Job No. 845998

Reported by: Laurie Donovan, RPR, CRR, CLR

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION



Pork and Swine




Industry & Trade Summary

Office of Industries

Publication ITS-11

October 2014

Control No. 2014002



imports that was largely filled by U.S. pork. As a result, total U.S. pork exports increased substantially in 2008.

In 2009, U.S. pork exports declined from the 2008 level, but were higher than in years prior to 2008. One reason for the decline was that Chinese import demand lessened as its domestic supplies rebounded. Pork exports in 2009 were also impacted by the global economic downturn and by the enactment of bans on U.S. pork in numerous countries related to concerns over H1N1 influenza, commonly known as “swine flu.” Most influenza-related bans on U.S. pork were lifted in 2010, and with purchasing power rising in major export markets, U.S. pork exports have increased in both volume and value, despite a decline in 2013 (table 15). U.S. pork exports to the Republic of Korea (Korea) more than doubled in 2011, after an outbreak of foot and mouth disease (FMD) in that country led to the destruction of up to one-third of Korea’s swine herd.¹¹¹ U.S. pork exports to Mexico increased substantially in 2012 in response to both purchasing-power gains and pork’s price advantage over beef.¹¹² In 2012, U.S. pork exports to all markets were 12 percent greater in volume and 28 percent higher in value than in 2008, before declining slightly in 2013 as U.S. domestic consumption increased.¹¹³

Table 15 U.S. exports of fresh and frozen pork by country, 2008–13

Destination	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Metric tons						
Japan	419.1	391.8	391.0	464.6	421.6	402.3
Mexico	228.4	274.2	283.1	285.8	409.8	427.7
Canada	125.1	112.8	112.6	122.6	130.8	111.9
China/Hong Kong	263.2	112.3	106.5	256.0	237.8	199.5
Korea	94.9	83.9	69.5	148.6	132.5	87.2
Australia	30.7	38.5	44.5	56.0	59.6	48.6
Russia	134.0	89.7	53.7	63.4	89.4	5.4
All other	171.7	150.4	178.7	150.5	164.5	205.7
Total	1,467.1	1,253.7	1,239.7	1,547.5	1,646.1	1,488.4
Thousand metric tons						
Japan	1,470.7	1,464.1	1,551.9	1,884.0	1,873.5	1,810.2
Mexico	411.8	423.8	559.1	598.5	777.7	853.6
Canada	410.4	357.6	419.5	468.3	492.5	432.3
China/Hong Kong	480.3	194.0	174.8	550.9	482.3	430.2
Korea	229.3	182.8	160.9	433.3	371.9	234.1
Australia	79.4	85.7	122.6	178.9	181.4	149.1
Russia	315.4	179.9	154.9	198.3	255.8	16.1
All other	390.7	291.0	385.2	372.8	404.7	503.3
Total	3,788.0	3,178.9	3,528.9	4,685.0	4,839.8	4,428.8


Source: USITC DataWeb/USDOC, accessed February 28, 2014.

¹¹¹ Production and demand in Korea are discussed below under Export Market Profiles.

¹¹² USDA, FAS, Mexico: Livestock and Products Annual, GAIN Report No. MX2065, September 9, 2012, 1, 8.

¹¹³ Reported quantity data are on a product weight basis and differ from data on a carcass weight basis.

EXHIBIT 269






EXHIBIT 290

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Civil Action No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB

IN RE:

PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION,

_____/

DEPOSITION OF
HAL SINGER

Friday, June 24, 2022
9:39 a.m. - 6:03 p.m.

Remote Location
Via Zoom Videoconference
All Parties Remote

Stenographically Reported By:
Erica Field, FPR

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of understanding the cultural context of the research. It highlights the need for researchers to be sensitive to the values and beliefs of the communities they are studying. This is particularly important in the field of education, where cultural differences can significantly impact learning outcomes.

The second part of the paper focuses on the methodology used in the study. It describes the process of selecting participants, collecting data, and analyzing the results. The authors emphasize the importance of using a mixed-methods approach to capture both quantitative and qualitative data.

The third part of the paper presents the findings of the study. It shows that there are significant differences in learning outcomes between students from different cultural backgrounds. These differences are attributed to a variety of factors, including language barriers, social norms, and access to resources.

The final part of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for education. It suggests that educators should take steps to create a more inclusive learning environment for all students. This can be done by providing additional support for students who are struggling and by incorporating culturally relevant materials into the curriculum.

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of understanding the cultural context of the research. It highlights the need for researchers to be sensitive to the values and beliefs of the communities they are studying. This is particularly important in the field of education, where cultural differences can significantly impact learning outcomes.

The second part of the paper focuses on the methodology used in the study. It describes the process of selecting participants, collecting data, and analyzing the results. The authors emphasize the importance of using a mixed-methods approach to gain a comprehensive understanding of the research topic.

The third part of the paper presents the findings of the study. It discusses the results of the quantitative data analysis and the insights gained from the qualitative interviews. The authors conclude that there are significant differences in learning outcomes between the two groups, and these differences can be attributed to cultural factors.

The final part of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for future research and practice. It suggests that educators should be aware of the cultural context of their students and tailor their teaching methods accordingly. Additionally, it calls for further research to explore the underlying reasons for the observed differences.























348


CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA)

I, ERICA FIELD, Stenographic Reporter,
certify that I was authorized to and did
stenographically report the deposition of HAL
SINGER, pages 1 through 347; that a review of
the transcript was requested; and that the
transcript is a true and complete record of
my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not a
relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of
any of the parties, nor am I a relative or
employee of any of the parties' attorney or
counsel connected with the action, nor am I
financially interested in the action.

DATED this 28th day of June, 2022.


Erica Field